

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,856	12/29/2000		Shlomi Harif	AUS9000877US1	8510
35617	7590	04/11/2003			
CONLEY I			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 684908 AUSTIN, TX 78768			WINTER, JOHN M		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3621	
				DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	<b>,</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

THE PARTY OF THE P

<i></i>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summer	09/751,856	HARIF, SHLOMI				
$\langle$	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<b></b>		John M Winter	3621 V				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address / Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 L	<u>December 2000</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	7) Claim(s) <u>24</u> is/are objected to.						
II	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
í	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a)          The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.     </li> <li>15)          Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.     </li> <li>Attachment(s)</li> </ul>							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 3				

Application/Control Number: 09/751,856

Art Unit: 3621

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-24 have been examined.

# Claim Objections

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claim 21 (second occurance) been renumbered claim 24.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rosen (US Patent 6,047,067).

As per claim 20,

Rosen ('067) discloses a computer-usable carrier medium, comprising:

first programming instructions executable on a computational device for receiving a financial charge from a network host;(Figure 2)

second programming instructions executable on the computational device for assigning the financial charge to a network client; (Column 3, lines 23-29)

third programming instructions executable on the computational device for resolving the financial charge.(Column 3,lines 23-44)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3621

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 8-19, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosen (US Patent 6,047,067).

As per claim 1,

Rosen ('067) discloses a system for enabling electronic commerce, said system comprising;

a network host, wherein the network host is adapted to provide a service to a network client using a network, wherein the service is provided in exchange for payment resolved by a financial resolution center connected to the network (Figure 2)

Official Notice is taken that "the network host and network client are network members" is common and well known in prior art in reference to electronic commerce. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the network host and network client are network members in order to provide communications between these two entities.

As per claim 2,

Rosen ('067) discloses the system as recited in claim 1,

wherein network members are determined by the financial resolution center.(Column 3, lines 23-29)

As per claim 3,

Rosen ('067) discloses the system as recited in claim 1,

Official Notice is taken that "network client and the network host maintain financial accounts with the financial resolution center" is common and well known in prior art in reference to electronic commerce. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the network client and the network host maintain financial accounts with the financial resolution center in order to provide more efficient service for the client. The examiner notes that is common for a banks to serve as both the host and the financial resolution center, therefore the services provided by the bank would be by default on the same network.

As per claim 8,

Rosen ('067) discloses the system as recited in claim 3, wherein the financial resolution center comprises a computational device. (Figure 2)

As per claim 9,

Rosen ('067) discloses the system as recited in claim 8, wherein the financial resolution center comprises:

a processor; (Column 7, lines 21-29)

Application/Control Number: 09/751,856 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

a storage device; (Column 7, lines 21-29)

a certificate authority program, wherein the certificate authority program is adapted to provide network membership; (Column 7, lines 21-37)

Official Notice is taken that "an accounting program, wherein the accounting program is adapted to reconcile network member accounts" is common and well known in prior art in reference to electronic commerce. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an accounting program, wherein the accounting program is adapted to reconcile network member accounts in order to in order to allow members to accurately project their financial budget. The examiner notes that numerous commercial programs such as Quicken, and Peachtree Accounting perform these functions.

As per claim 10,

Rosen ('067) discloses the system as recited in claim 9,

wherein the financial resolution center further comprises a monitoring program, wherein the monitoring program is adapted to track and record financial activities of the network member. (Column 17, lines 58-67; column 18, lines 1-4)

As per claim 11,

Rosen ('067) discloses the system as recited in claim 10,

wherein the network member comprises an agent, wherein an agent is adapted to execute a process, and wherein providing a service comprises executing a process. (Figure 2)

As per claim 12,

Rosen ('067) discloses the system as recited in claim 11,

wherein the financial resolution center is further adapted to extend credit to network members.(Column 20, lines 35-57)

As per claim 13,

Rosen ('067) discloses the system as recited in claim 10,

Official Notice is taken that "the accounting program is adapted to reconcile financial accounts for the network members" is common and well known in prior art in reference to electronic commerce. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the accounting program is adapted to reconcile financial accounts for the network members in order to allow members to accurately project their financial budget.

As per claim 14,

Rosen ('067) discloses the system as recited in claim 1,

Official Notice is taken that "identities of network members are known only to the financial resolution center" is common and well known in prior art in reference to electronic commerce. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the identities of network members are known only to the financial resolution center in order to provide promote consumer confidence in the system by providing security functions.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,856 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

As per claim 15,

Rosen ('067) discloses a method of enabling electronic commerce, said method comprising:

administering financial accounts for a network client and a network host, wherein the network client and the network host are network members;

receiving a financial charge from the network host;

assigning the charge to the network client;

resolving the charge,

(Column 22, line 23 through column 24 line 43 {the Money issued reconciliation process})

Official Notice is taken that "maintaining confidentiality as to the identity of the network client and the network host" is common and well known in prior art in reference to electronic commerce. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to maintain confidentiality as to the identity of the network client and the network host in order to provide promote consumer confidence in the system by providing security functions.

As per claim 16,

Rosen ('067) discloses the method as recited in claim 15,

further comprising assigning network membership.(Column 3, lines 23-29)

As per claim 17,

Rosen ('067) discloses the method as recited in claim 16, wherein assigning network membership comprises issuing authentication identifiers.(Figure 6J)

As per claim 18,

Rosen ('067) discloses the method as recited in claim 17, wherein the authentication identifiers comprise a public/private key pair. (Figure 6J)

As per claim 19,

Rosen ('067) discloses a computer-usable carrier medium,

comprising first programming instructions executable on a computational device for presenting a financial charge to a financial resolution center wherein: the-financial charge is levied against a network client, (Column 3, lines 23-29)

Official Notice is taken that "the network client remains unknown to the computational device" is common and well known in prior art in reference to electronic commerce. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was that the network client remains unknown to the computational device in order to provide promote consumer confidence in the system by providing security functions.

As per claim 21,

Rosen ('067) discloses the carrier medium as recited in claim 20.

Official Notice is taken that "fourth programming instructions executable on the computational device for maintaining confidentiality as to the identity of the network client and

Application/Control Number: 09/751,856

Page 6

Art Unit: 3621

the network host" is common and well known in prior art in reference to electronic commerce. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to maintain confidentiality as to the identity of the network client and the network host in order to provide promote consumer confidence in the system by providing security functions.

As per claim 22,

Rosen ('067) discloses the carrier medium as recited in claim 21.

wherein resolving the financial charge comprises modifying the financial accounts for the network client and the network host. (Column 22, lines 24-67; column 24, lines 24-43; also figures 16 and 17)

As per claim 23,

Rosen ('067) discloses a computer-usable carrier medium,

comprising first programming instructions executable on a computational device for receiving a financial charge from a financial resolution center, wherein the financial charge is levied against the computational device, wherein the financial charge is to be credited toward a network host(Column 3, lines 23-29)

Official Notice is taken that "the network client remains unknown to the computational device" is common and well known in prior art in reference to electronic commerce. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was that the network client remains unknown to the computational device in order to provide promote consumer confidence in the system by providing security functions.

As per claim 24,

Rosen ('067) discloses the carrier medium as recited in claim 20,

further comprising fifth programming instructions executable on the computational device for maintaining financial accounts for the network host and the network client.(Column 22, lines 37-53)

Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosen (US Patent 6,047,067) in view of Harif (US Patent application 09/751856)

As per claim 4,

Rosen ('067) discloses the system as recited in claim 1,

Rosen ('067) does not explicitly disclose the network comprises a heterogeneous network, Harif discloses the network comprises a heterogeneous network. (Page 1, lines 23-30, page 2 lines 1-4) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Rosen ('067) system with the Harif's system in order to reduce the cost of establishing the network by not restricting member ship to a particular type of machine or operating system.

As per claim 5, Rosen ('067) discloses the system as recited in claim 4, A . II ! 2601

Art Unit: 3621

Rosen ('067) does not explicitly disclose the heterogeneous network comprises a network of computational devices, Harif discloses the heterogeneous network comprises a network of computational devices. (Page 1, lines 23-30, page 2 lines 1-4) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Rosen ('067) system with the Harif's system in order to automate financial data processing.

As per claim 6,

Rosen ('067) discloses the system as recited in claim 4,

Official Notice is taken that "the heterogeneous network is absent information sent thereacross for maintaining secure access thereto." is common and well known in prior art in reference to electronic commerce. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the heterogeneous network is absent information sent thereacross for maintaining secure access thereto in order to provide more efficient service for the client. The examiner notes that feature is common all operating system with a networking capability (the unix command Rlogin for example provides this feature).

As per claim 7,

Rosen ('067) discloses the system as recited in claim 5,

Rosen ('067) does not explicitly disclose the network of computational devices comprises a network of multiple platforms, Harif discloses the network of computational devices comprises a network of multiple platforms. (Page 1, lines 23-30, page 2 lines 1-4) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Rosen ('067) system with the Harif's system in order to reduce the cost of establishing the network by not restricting member ship to a particular type of machine or operating system.

#### Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Winter whose telephone number is (703) 305-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P Trammell can be reached on (703)305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/751,856

Art Unit: 3621

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

JMW April 7, 2003

> JOHN W. HAYES RIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8